I would like to read this paper in section 4 Āgamas and Tantras.

Two Commentaries on the Opening Verse of the \bar{I} svarapratyabhij \tilde{n} \bar{a} vimar $\sin \bar{i}$ of Abhinavagupta

Yohei Kawajiri

Research Fellow, Humanities Research Institute, Chikushi Jogakuen University

As is well known, after Abhinavagupta and his pupil Kṣemarāja the Pratyabhijñā-based Trika spread to South India. It is still not clear, however, how the doctrine of the Pratyabhijñā-based Trika was understood in South India. This paper, therefore, looks for a clue for clearing up this question in two commentaries on the \bar{I} svarapratyabhijñāvimarśinī: the \bar{I} svarapratyabhijñāvimarśinīvyākhyā and the Bhāskarī. The former is South Indian, while the latter is Kashmirian.

It is interesting to note that the opening verse of Abhinavagupta's Isvarapratyabhijnāvimarsin $\bar{\imath}$ is interpreted differently by the two commentaries. In the interpretation by the \bar{I} svarapratyabhijnāvimarsin $\bar{\imath}$ vy \bar{a} khy \bar{a} is reflected the cosmogony according to which the emergence of phonemes is linked to the gradual manifestation of the stages of the emanation, whereas in the interpretation by the $Bh\bar{a}$ skar $\bar{\imath}$ is reflected the doctrine that the world is the projection in and of consciousness.

In this connection it is important to note the following. The \bar{I} svarapratyabhijñāvimarśinīvyākhyā, whose author is unknown, interprets it on the basis of the $Par\bar{a}tr\bar{i}$ sikālaghuvṛtti, which is a commentary on the $Par\bar{a}tr\bar{i}$ sikā. This text teaches a form of the Trika known as the Anuttara. The tradition of the Anuttara, which makes much account of the system of meditative worship of Anuttara and Mantra sauh, is sometimes distinguished from the Trika. The $Bh\bar{a}skar\bar{i}$, on the other hand, expounds it on the basis of Jayaratha's Tantrālokaviveka, which is an extensive commentary on the Tantrāloka of Abhinavagupta. The Tantrāloka expounds the doctrine and ritual of the Trika on the basis of the Mālinīvijayottaratantra.

All this shows that the $Bh\bar{a}skar\bar{i}$ interprets the opening verse of the $\bar{I}svarapratya-bhijn\bar{a}vimarsin\bar{i}$ from the point of view of the theology of the Trika while the $\bar{I}svarapra-tyabhijn\bar{a}vimarsin\bar{i}vy\bar{a}khy\bar{a}$ from the point of view of the medicative worship of Anuttara. This may suggest that of the two aspects of the doctrine of the Pratyabhijnābased Trika Śaivas in Kashmir attach greater importance to a theoretical one, while ones in South India to a practical one.

It is to be noted that, since the *Iśvarapratyabhijñāvimarśinīvyākhyā* has not been published so far, I shall use a Malayalam manuscript kept in Trivandrum and a Devanāgarī transcript in Madras.