Śabdajyotsnā: a less-known Sanskrit grammar by Pt. Bhiksharama of Kurukshetra

Shri Krishan Sharma Kurukshetra University

The learning and teaching of Sanskrit grammar has been a continuous practice flowing down since very early times. In the same tradition, Pt. Bhiksharama of Kurukshetra composed a new Sanskrit grammar named Śabdajyotsnā (ŚJ) in 1942 A.D.

Sūtras of ŚJ are listed in a subject-wise style (according to *prakaraṇa*). Only *Sañjnā* and *Sandhi* chapters of this work are published in 1958. The rest of the text is still in the form of manuscripts written by the author himself on paper in *devanāgarī* script. The *samāsaprakarana* and some portions of *taddhitaprakarana* are lost.

ŚJ was prepared with a view to teaching the grammar to ordinary students in a simple style. *Sūtras* of ŚJ are quite briefer than those of Pāṇini. For example: '*iko yaṇaci* (Pā 6.1.77)' and '*eco'yavāyāvāḥ* (Pā 6.1.78)' are formulated in the single *sūtra* '*icāṃ yaṇayavāy-āvo'ci*'

Similarily, sūtra of ŚJ 'jhalāṃ jaśjhaśyante ca' performs the operations of two sūtras of Pāṇini: 'jhalāṃ jaś jhaśi (Pā 8.4.53)' and 'jhalāṃ jaśo'nte (Pā 8.2.39)'; and sūtra 'sargādṛti vri subdhau vā' formulates the purpose of 'upasargādṛti dhātau (Pā 6.1.91)' + 'vā supyāpi-śaleḥ (Pā 6.1.92)'. Sūtra 'stoḥ ścuṣṭubhyāṃ ścuṣṭū' works for 'stoḥ ścunā ścuḥ (Pā 8.4.40)' + 'stunā stuh (Pā 8.4.41)'.

Wordformation ($r\bar{u}pasiddhi$) according to ŚJ is very simple and concise. According to Pāṇini, the formation of $sakh\bar{a}$ (sakhi > mas., nominative, singular) is very long as it needs six or seven $s\bar{u}tras$, while the system of ŚJ employs $d\bar{a} = \bar{a}$ substitute for su (= $sakhi + su > d\bar{a} = \bar{a}$) in order that, due to dit nature of $d\bar{a} = \bar{a}$, the elision of ti (= ti of sakhi) may finalize the formation of $sakh\bar{a} = a$ male ti

San + Śambhuḥ—here Pāṇini provides, by 'śi tuk (Pā 8.3.31)', that tuk augment takes place and, by 'stoḥ ścunā ścuḥ (Pā 8.4.40)', that the augment tuk = t is replaced by the palatal sound c = san t śambhuḥ = san c śambhuḥ = sañ c śambhuḥ = sañ c chambhuḥ. But ŚJ presents c directly by the rule 'śi cak' so that an extra exercise regarding palatalization may not be required.

This description covers only some illustrations cited from $\dot{S}J$. The present paper intends to provide an account of such peculiarities of $\dot{S}J$ by comparing this grammar with the $Ast\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{\imath}$.