The notion of *prayoktṛdharma* in VP 3.9.105 and some later grammatical works

Vincenzo Vergiani University of Cambridge

The multidimensional semantic complexity of ordinary utterances is duly taken into account in Pānini's grammar as long as its various dimensions affect word derivation. Thus, expressive meanings, which reflect the speaker's feelings and attitudes, appear in several sūtras as semantic conditions for various grammatical operations, as pointed out by Saroja Bhate in her contribution to Prof. S.D. Joshi Felicitation Volume (1991). This function of language was theorised by later grammarians as vyañjanā 'suggestion', alongside abhidhā 'denotation' and laksanā 'figurative (i.e. metaphorical and metonymic) function', in the wake of the poetological speculation initiated by Ānandhavardhana's *Dhvanyāloka* (9th c. C.E.). However, the earlier grammatical tradition, from Bhartrhari onwards, had tried to tackle this elusive aspect of semantics from a strictly linguistic point of view, offering different solutions of great theoretical and historical interest. One such attempt is the interpretive category of prayoktrdharma "property of the speaker" found in Vākyapadīya (VP) 3.9.105, where it refers to āśamsā, the speaker's 'expectation' that a certain event will or might take place. In a sentence such as *upādhyāyaś ced āgato vyākaranam adhītam eva*—the example given in Helārāja's commentary on VP 3.9.105—the expectation of the teacher's arrival may be regarded as a non-descriptive meaning, insofar as it does not refer to any feature of the external event. Since it is conveyed without being directly expressed by any grammatical or lexical element, it is not a śabdārtha, a 'linguistic' meaning, according to Helārāja. In other words, it is a sentence meaning that escapes formalisation altogether. Before Helārāja, the notion of prayoktrdharma had already been applied in the Kāśikāvrtti (KV) on A. 8.1.8 and 8.1.10 to asūyā 'jealousy', kutsana 'scorn', ābādha 'distress' etc., all of which are possible semantic conditions for the reiteration of a lexical item in an utterance. In the KV they are opposed to abhidheyadharmas, 'properties of the denotatum', such as time, number, etc. Nevertheless, just like the latter, they may sometimes be grammaticalised in Sanskrit. To that extent, prayoktrdharmas are not different from other meanings that play a role in Pānini's grammar, as Helārāja observes under VP 3.7.125–26, where he treats praişa 'commanding'—one of the semantic conditions for the introduction of *lOT* endings (the so-called 'imperative') according to A.3.3.163—as another instance of prayoktrdharma. Jealousy, distress, etc. are also referred to in the Vākyakāndatīkā on VP 2.77–83, where instead of prayoktrdharma the term purusadharma is found, which I would tentatively translate with "property of the [locutionary] subject". This is then further subdivided into vaktrdharma and pratipattrdharma, property of the speaker and of the hearer, respectively, which shows the author's awareness of the importance of conversational roles in the semantics of everyday utterances.