Kaie Mochizuki

Though Śāntideva is famous as a great master of Mādhyamika school in India, it is not easy to define his historical nor philosophical position in Indian Buddhism. His texts are not only so much cited by contemporary scholars but also he does not cite Mādhyamika works of others in his works. He is classified into the Prāsangika school in a later Tibetan *grub mtha*' literature, but it does not seem to show his exact philosophical position. The reason why he is called the Prāsangika would come from that the subject of his *Bodhisattvacaryāvatāra* is thought to be similar to that of the *Mādhyamakāvatāra* of Candrakīrti.

Dīpamkaraśrījñāna (Atiśa) who is known as an author of the *Bodhipathapradīpa* is also classified into the Prāsangika school in the *grub mtha*' literature. Though this also seems to show his exact philosophical position, he referrs to Candrakīrti and Śāntideva several times in his works and he may be recognized to write the *Bodhipathapradīpa* on the basis of the the *Madhyamkāvatāra* or the *Bodhisattvacaryāvatāra*. We can call the group of these texts "the bodhicaryā works in the Mādhyamika literature."

I will consider the philosophical position of Śāntideva in India through the viewpoint of Dīpamkaraśrijñāna. that is to say, (1) the Bodhipathapradipa with his auto-commentary and his other mahayana texts in which he refers to the works of Śāntideva, (2) his commentary on the Bodhisattvacaryāvatāra of Śāntideva, (3) the Mahāsūtrasamuccaya, mahāyānasūtras like the Śiksāsamuccaya the anthological text of of Śāntideva. In the Madhyamakopadeśa-ratnakarandoghāta, for example, he classfied Śāntideva not into the Mādhyamika section like Bhavya, Buddhapālita, Śāntaraksita and Kamalaśīla but into the section of masters who write the texts for beginners after aspiration (cittotpāda) like Candragomin and Śūra. This means that he does not recognize Śāntideva as a Mādhyamika master, but a master who writes the text on the practice of bodhisattva. But it is clear that Śāntideva is one of important masters for him and he writes his works under the influence of Santideva.

Through this investigation it will be clear how Santideva was read in the last period of Indian Buddhism and how his philosophical position was understood then.