(Section 10. Jaina Studies) Reconsideration of Anguttara-Nikāya II IV.195

Ayako Yagi

The purpose of this paper is to reconsider the different emphasis that distinguishes Buddhism from Jainism in AN II IV.195. This chapter consists of a dialogue between Vappa, a Jaina layman, on the one hand, and Moggallāna and the Buddha on the other. They discuss the cause of *āsava* for someone who is restrained (*saṃvuḍa*). As R. Gombrich "The Buddha and the Jains," (*Asiatische Studien* XLIII 4, 1994) has pointed out, we meet in this chapter some words common to Pāli texts and the Jaina canon. For example, *āsava, saṃvuḍa, samārambha, nijjarā*, and *indiya*. By using these words, the Buddha shows the superiority of Buddhism to the Jaina view, and by employing the Jaina conception of a new *kamma* different from an old one, he succeeds in making Vappa convert to Buddhism.

At first, Vappa claims that unmatured old kamma is the reason for the occurrence of new $\bar{a}sava$. For the Buddha, on the other hand, action $(sam\bar{a}rambha)$ of body, speech and mind, and ignorance $(avijj\bar{a})$ are the reason for new $\bar{a}sava$ to occur, and he refers to the destruction of kamma and to $nijjar\bar{a}$ in this life. In Jainism, final $nijjar\bar{a}$ is accomplished with the annihilation of the last four $agh\bar{a}tikamma$ of eight kinds of kamma, following which a $j\bar{v}a$ leaves the body and rises to the top of the world. That is at the end of life. The difference in the meaning of $nijjar\bar{a}$ is concerned in the Buddha's next saying.

Having attained $nijjar\bar{a}$ in this life, one continues to live with the body. Then the Buddha teaches the five senses and manas as the six constant abiding-states (*cha satatavihāra*), and refers to the feeling (*vedanā*), which is limited by one's body (*kāya*) and life. As Gombrich has emphasized, the important point of *cha satatavihāra* is indifference (*upekkhā*).

In Jainism, the control of the senses (indiya) is taught for a monk when he endures many hardships. He should practise *tapas*, which is one of the means to annihilate old *kamma*. But also, a monk should abandon $(vy-ut\sqrt{srj})$ his [attachment to the] body $(k\bar{a}ya)$. In other places, the five sense organs are referred to in the context of $k\bar{a}ma$ and $k\bar{a}maguna$. Utt 16 and $\bar{A}y\bar{a}r$ II.15 sū 790 teach unattachment to the objects with regard to the possession (pariggaha), as $\bar{A}y\bar{a}r$ I.3.1.1(13, 11–12) does in relation to the cessation of injury. We find that control of the senses works well both in the restraint of physical and of mental activities in the Jaina view, to which bodily activities are the worst (MN I 56). That is, the control of the body bears great effect, as Dasav 8.27^d says: *dehe dukkham mahāphalam*. On the other hand, mental activities are the worst in Buddhism. Therefore, MN III 152 Indriyabhāvaņā criticizes the cultivation of the senses by their non-function. This may apply to the Jainas, for whom non-acting ends with death (Sūya Tī I.8.16–17).

We could say that the Jaina layman Vappa understood this different emphasis and therefore converted to Buddhism. Through the comparison of terms common to both, similarity and difference between Buddhism and Jainism is brought out.